LEGISLATIVE SERVICES AGENCY OFFICE OF FISCAL AND MANAGEMENT ANALYSIS

200 W. Washington, Suite 301 Indianapolis, IN 46204 (317) 233-0696 http://www.in.gov/legislative

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

LS 6597 NOTE PREPARED: Jan 2, 2015

BILL NUMBER: SB 558 BILL AMENDED:

SUBJECT: Land Classification for Tax Purposes.

FIRST AUTHOR: Sen. Delph BILL STATUS: As Introduced

FIRST SPONSOR:

FUNDS AFFECTED: GENERAL IMPACT: State & Local

DEDICATED FEDERAL

<u>Summary of Legislation:</u> This bill specifies the criteria for classifying land as agricultural land for property tax assessment purposes. The bill provides that certain undeveloped lands must be assessed as agricultural land regardless of the motives of the owner at the time the owner acquired the land, the zoning designation of the land, or whether the owner uses the land for growing crops or raising livestock or is otherwise engaged in the business of farming.

The bill requires assessing officials to review for compliance with the new criteria the assessments of lands that had been classified as excess residential property for the 2005 through 2015 assessment dates and to reclassify as agricultural land as necessary for the 2016 assessment date. It authorizes refunds for excessive tax payments attributable to the reclassification of land during that period.

The bill also provides that the limitations on contracts for the discovery of undervalued or omitted property apply to a contract concerning the reclassification of parcels, including the prohibition on contracts paid on a percentage basis.

Effective Date: Upon passage.

<u>Explanation of State Expenditures:</u> The Department of Local Government Finance (DLGF) is required to prescribe a refund form under this bill. The DLGF should be able to complete this task in the normal course of business within existing resources.

Explanation of State Revenues:

SB 558 1

Explanation of Local Expenditures:

Explanation of Local Revenues: This bill will result in the reclassification of up to 350,000 acres of land, statewide, from excess residential to farmland. The reclassifications will affect property that was reclassified from agricultural land to excess residential beginning with the March 1, 2005, assessment date. Taxpayers whose land will be reclassified under this bill are entitled to claim a refund for excess taxes paid in 2006 through 2016. Total refunds could be as high as \$87 M. Property Tax refunds reduce current year property tax distributions to taxing units.

On an ongoing basis, the reclassification could lead to tax shifts of about \$15 M per year from taxpayers with land assessed as farmland under this bill to all other taxpayers. This amount would be reduced if local assessors again classify some of this land as excess residential acreage after following the process set out in the bill which includes a notice of change at least 180 days prior to the assessment date and a hearing upon the taxpayer's request.

These are estimates of the <u>maximum</u> impact of this bill. The actual impact may be lower in some counties. The estimates are affected by limitations in the data. While this bill pertains only to land reclassified from farmland to residential acreage, the analysis uses the amount of new excess residential acreage each year without regard to the original classification.

A table with estimated impacts by county appears at the end of this fiscal note. Available data on land classifications begins in pay year 2007 in 79 counties, 2008 in 5 counties, 2009 in 6 counties, and 2010 in 2 counties. The table notes the base year used for each county.

State Agencies Affected: Department of Local Government Finance.

<u>Local Agencies Affected:</u> Local assessors; County auditors; Local civil taxing units and school corporations.

Information Sources: County assessor land files.

Fiscal Analyst: Bob Sigalow, 317-232-9859.

SB 558 2

Excess Residential Acreage

CntyCountyData YearAcreageAcreageAcreageAV LossTax Shift01Adams20073,836582969,60020,400	Potential Refund 175,100 7,341,500
01 Adams 2007 3,836 582 969,600 20,400	175,100
	7 2 4 1 5 0 0
02 Allen 2007 29,732 6,801 43,932,600 1,278,000	7,341,300
03 Bartholomew 2007 6,270 5,679 17,151,600 377,300	2,625,600
04 Benton 2007 1,308 249 276,500 3,200	21,600
05 Blackford 2007 2,691 1,042 2,107,400 56,800	226,300
06 Boone 2007 16,649 10,012 55,968,100 1,127,600	6,237,400
07 Brown 2007 49,926 3,852 9,825,900 105,600	835,900
08 Carroll 2007 5,589 3,504 3,241,000 45,100	349,100
09 Cass 2007 5,855 2,497 2,047,400 56,400	332,700
10 Clark 2009 13,109 1,596 2,117,300 55,500	362,400
11 Clay 2007 7,186 3,404 718,100 11,800	117,200
12 Clinton 2007 3,827 1,255 2,818,600 58,500	526,200
13 Crawford 2008 7,964 784 240,300 6,600	86,000
14 Daviess 2009 4,498 237 467,000 10,400	47,100
15 Dearborn 2007 10,862 1,998 5,911,800 128,700	739,500
16 Decatur 2007 3,190 305 464,100 7,600	74,700
17 DeKalb 2007 8,951 2,711 9,915,500 199,400	832,100
18 Delaware 2007 15,787 6,640 18,135,000 625,200	3,098,600
19 Dubois 2007 19,879 13,954 36,001,600 713,800	5,034,200
20 Elkhart 2010 35,537 14,023 52,718,300 1,503,300	5,534,200
21 Fayette 2007 2,548 194 0 0	3,000
22 Floyd 2007 19,232 11,613 18,432,800 387,200	1,898,600
23 Fountain 2008 9,163 8,081 12,974,900 190,400	657,400
24 Franklin 2007 6,449 521 783,900 11,200	110,700
25 Fulton 2007 3,629 785 7,000 100	22,600
26 Gibson 2007 3,563 525 2,782,300 56,500	385,200
27 Grant 2009 12,625 3,419 5,147,800 137,300	769,800
28 Greene 2007 9,222 378 338,200 6,900	62,300
29 Hamilton 2007 17,257 96 1,738,500 39,200	258,800
30 Hancock 2009 6,275 215 953,400 23,000	95,300
31 Harrison 2009 13,829 4,738 5,418,400 66,400	720,300
32 Hendricks 2007 25,227 13,310 37,172,500 940,400	8,967,300
33 Henry 2007 4,215 278 911,100 23,000	169,900
34 Howard 2007 5,481 4,355 8,316,000 262,700	1,310,900
35 Huntington 2007 18,323 12,738 10,291,300 232,000	1,231,700
36 Jackson 2007 3,643 344 879,800 17,400	122,400
37 Jasper 2007 20,613 8,498 6,397,200 71,300	415,500
38 Jay 2009 3,903 143 135,900 3,100	16,700
39 Jefferson 2007 6,077 577 54,800 1,200	44,700
40 Jennings 2007 13,547 1,551 1,113,100 24,300	409,900
41 Johnson 2007 14,577 357 3,530,000 78,500	472,000
42 Knox 2007 5,170 1,262 854,400 17,400	266,700
43 Kosciusko 2007 15,887 5,454 8,942,200 124,900	903,200
44 LaGrange 2007 7,934 1,219 1,093,200 14,300	112,200
45 Lake 2007 30,318 0 0	0
46 LaPorte 2007 25,100 10,556 19,240,700 408,900	4,165,000

SB 558 3

Excess Residential Acreage

						Potential		
		Base	Pay 2015	Increased	Potential	Annual	Potential	
Cnty	County	Data Year	Acreage	Acreage	AV Change	Tax Shift	Refund	
47	Lawrence	2007	7,013	440	911,900	23,200	137,100	
48	Madison	2007	10,244	1,074	274,000	9,300	177,900	
49	Marion	2007	16,665	1,477	10,919,300	302,900	1,419,100	
50	Marshall	2007	18,248	14,464	26,258,000	446,300	1,121,200	
51	Martin	2007	4,697	1,487	1,499,800	24,800	133,400	
52	Miami	2007	7,210	2,108	692,200	15,500	89,600	
53	Monroe	2008	25,488	3,449	6,688,900	119,900	631,800	
54	Montgomery	2007	6,634	1,232	2,410,700	51,100	276,000	
55	Morgan	2007	28,024	137	592,200	8,100	75,300	
56	Newton	2008	3,347	133	165,300	3,100	20,100	
57	Noble	2007	15,362	2,150	6,824,900	138,000	984,200	
58	Ohio	2007	2,861	1,744	2,065,600	22,100	157,700	
59	Orange	2007	8,689	202	344,500	5,400	43,800	
60	Owen	2007	10,965	1,039	0	0	16,700	
61	Parke	2007	3,406	1,387	2,737,200	37,100	349,200	
62	Perry	2007	8,206	3,700	3,828,700	99,700	1,278,900	
63	Pike	2007	5,450	534	678,200	13,300	82,200	
64	Porter	2010	25,169	14,651	116,851,200	2,509,100	10,164,200	
65	Posey	2007	10,437	8,261	753,800	12,400	224,300	
66	Pulaski	2007	3,358	626	713,800	8,100	65,900	
67	Putnam	2007	10,280	1,387	3,971,500	63,100	304,600	
68	Randolph	2007	3,935	834	1,255,100	26,500	120,700	
69	Ripley	2007	4,174	278	270,100	3,900	33,700	
70	Rush	2007	2,216	25	67,700	1,200	10,500	
71	St. Joseph	2008	14,373	2,417	3,325,500	129,100	691,400	
72	Scott	2007	7,130	208	533,400	12,900	136,600	
73	Shelby	2007	8,562	0	0	0	0	
74	Spencer	2007	13,457	2,883	760,900	11,400	176,000	
75	Starke	2007	29,739	20,961	0	0	217,100	
76	Steuben	2007	10,078	5,899	13,572,400	169,800	1,490,600	
77	Sullivan	2007	6,030	265	0	0	3,000	
78	Switzerland	2007	4,475	262	87,100	1,100	16,500	
79	Tippecanoe	2007	17,517	2,405	1,809,700	37,800	265,000	
80	Tipton	2007	1,281	609	1,387,800	20,700	95,600	
81	Union	2007	2,543	843	235,700	4,900	53,700	
82	Vanderburgh	2007	20,585	8,594	2,214,600	64,400	1,005,900	
83	Vermillion	2007	10,356	7,785	0	0	14,900	
84	Vigo	2007	9,711	2,604	2,894,300	88,100	621,300	
85	Wabash	2007	10,827	1,337	1,852,400	33,400	207,100	
86	Warren	2007	2,504	676	559,400	6,800	42,800	
87	Warrick	2007	70,557	56,993	32,278,100	518,200	4,688,500	
88	Washington	2007	18,254	1,146	2,136,200	42,900	165,900	
89	Wayne	2007	9,512	780	1,043,000	28,400	182,300	
90	Wells	2007	7,940	507	2,403,200	33,400	277,200	
91	White	2007	4,117	400	720,400	9,300	65,100	
92	Whitley	2007	13,137	656	1,530,900	25,700	162,800	
12	Willing	2007	1,091,216	353,381	672,656,700	14,711,200	86,686,900	
			1,071,410	333,301	0/4,030,/00	17,/11,400	00,000,900	

SB 558